

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/002,224	KOTANI, TAKUYA
Examiner	Art Unit	
Fred I. Ehichioya	2162	

All Participants:

(1) Fred I. Ehichioya.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) John D. magluyan (Reg. No. 56,687).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 12, 13, 19, 24 and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



SHAHID ALAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to applicant's representative (John Magluyan) to amend the current claims by combining claim 7 to claim 1 and cancel claim 7; amend claim 12 to include using claim language "additionally registers", combine claim 19 to claim 13 and cancel claim 19; amend claim 24 to include using claim language "additionally registers" and combine the limitation of claim 19 to claim 25 for a possible allowance. Mr. Magluyan accepted Examiner's proposition..